# IVANO-FRANKIVSKA OBLAST COLLECTIVE CENTRE ASSESSMENT



### **CLASSIFICATION:** Unrestricted

#### ASSESSED COLLECTIVE CENTRES IN IVANO-FRANKIVSKA OBLAST



#### **BACKGROUND**

In November and December 2023, IOM CCCM teams, in collaboration with Oblast authorities and local civil society organizations, conducted CCCM Collective Centre assessment across Ivano-Frankivska Oblast. Data was collected at the site-level at the request of the relevant Oblast administration(s) and implemented through key-informant interviews with site managers as well by conducting observational assessments. All figures and narrative in this fact-sheet cover collective centres which are hosting (and are capable of hosting) 30 persons. Findings are indicative of the situation in the sites at the time of data collection. This report provides an overview in the following thematic areas: demographics, vulnerabilities, site management, site environment, health, water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH), food provision, and most urgent needs.

#### **OVERVIEW**

In total, 58 sites were assessed, of which 53 were hosting IDPs at the time of assessment, with 5 centres empty but ready to host. There were 1,938 IDPs hosted within these sites, meaning that each site, on average, hosts 37 IDPs. Sites had a collective total hosting capacity of 7,981 IDPs. The most common types of site were dormitories (34%), followed by health facilities (28%), and school buildings (14%). Overall the highest reported priority need was found to be structural site upgrades and repairs (e.g. walls, rooms, elevators), which was reported in 36% of sites.

## **KEY FIGURES**



collective centres (sites) assessed across Ivano-Frankivska



IDPs hosted in collective centres (sites)



7.981

total hosting capacity



of sites are dormitories



of sites report that IDPs do not have plans to transit to other locations



**70**%

of sites report the presence of persons with disabilities



of sites are managed by the government



of sites report presence of unaccompanied elderly persons



of sites report difficulties in accessing medicine



of sites are not connected to central sewage system



**36**%

of sites report structural site upgrades and repairs (e.g. walls, rooms, elevators) as their highest priority need

These assessments, conducted over the fourth quarter of 2023, were made possible through the generous support provided by the Bureau for Humanitarian Assistance (BHA) and the European Union Humanitarian AID (ECHO)







#### **DEMOGRAPHICS**



#### WASH



Out of a total of 1,938 IDPs currently hosted across the sites in Ivano-Frankivska Oblast, 54% are female and 46% are male; 20% are minors (under the age of 18), 54% are adults (aged between 18 and 59), and 26% are elderly (over the age of 59).

#### **VULNERABILITIES**



A total of 169 IDPs (9% of total IDPs) across 37 sites (70% of IDP-hosting sites) were reported to be persons with disabilities. Critically, 42% of sites are not fully disability accessible, making this group of individuals even more vulnerable. Unaccompanied elderly persons were also reported across 49% of sites, persons with chronic diseases in 43% of sites, and pregnant or lactating females in 23% of sites.

#### SITE MANAGEMENT



87% of sites are managed by the government, 8% by private individuals, and 2% by religious entities. 70% of sites report staff presence 24 hours of the day, 23% report presence in the daytimes only, and 8% report periodic visits. IDP registration upon arrival is reported in 100% of sites, and an allocation plan for vulnerable groups exists in 34% of sites. In 25% of sites, IDPs are reportedly being charged money to stay.


#### SITE ENVIRONMENT



In 49% of sites, the site manager reports that they expect the site to remain open for more than six months, 0% report that they expect the site to close within 6 months, and 51% are unsure. Critically, 13% of sites do not have a bomb shelter on-site or

critically, 13% of sites do not have a bomb shelter on-site or nearby, 11% of sites have insufficient electrical capacity, 8% of sites have insufficient Wifi, and 8% of sites report have insufficient fire extinguishers. The chart below provides a comprehensive overview of deficiencies in essential items and services.

#### Lack of essential items or services (% of sites)




## HEALTH



9% of sites report that there is no health worker who is on-site or able to regularly visit. Problems with accessing medicines are reported in a greater 26% of sites.

On average, there are 12 toilets and 10 showers per site for the IDP population. Toilets and showers are not gender-segregated 45% and 53% of sites, respectively; nor are they disability accessible in 72% and 72% of sites, respectively. On average, there are 4 functional washing machines per site. A critical 30% of sites are not connected to the central sewage system. Across all sites, the primary way by which IDPs accessed drinking water was by tap water, as reported in 66% of IDP-hosting sites. The below chart presents a more detailed breakdown of how IDPs access drinking water across sites.

Primary way by which IDPs access drinking water (% of sites)



0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70%

#### **FOOD PROVISION**



The most frequently reported way by which IDPs have access to food in sites was found to be via purchasing and/or cooking their own food (68%), followed by food being provided on-site by the site (32%). 17% of sites reported that there was no kitchen space available for IDPs to prepare food, and a similar 17% of sites reported that there was no available communal space for IDPs to eat food.

Ways by which IDPs access food (% of sites)





site



NGO



## **MOST URGENT NEEDS**



The most urgent needs, as identified by site managers are displayed in the below table. Across collective centres in Ivano-Frankivska Oblast, structural site upgrades and repairs (e.g. walls, rooms, elevators) are the most urgent needs, as reported by 36% of IDP-hosting sites, followed by food services (11%), and NFIs for facilities (beds, mattresses, privacy partitions, etc.) (11%).

Table 1: Highest priority need (% of sites)

1. Structural site upgrades and repairs (e.g. walls, rooms, elevators)	36%
2. Food services	11%
3. NFIs for facilities (beds, mattresses, privacy partitions, etc.)	11%
4. Electrical upgrades	9%
5. NFIs (washing machines and/or dryers)	6%

